

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/768,556	01/25/2001	Yukihiro Inoue	L8462.01101	5136	
7590 08/09/2002		EXAMINER GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A			
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P. Suite 850					
1615 L Street,		GEBREMARIAM, SAMOLE A			
Washington, D	C 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811	2811	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary		09/768,556	INOUE, YUKIHIRO				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Samuel A Gebremariam	2811				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from If the period for reply specific fit NO period for reply is specific Failure to reply within the set.	or This COMMONICATION invaliable under the provisions of 37 CFR the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a resified above, the maximum statutory period to rexplay will, by state to rextended period for reply will, by state fice later than three months after the mail.	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daily will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI ling date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communicatio	οπ.			
	1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 29	May 2002 .					
	2a)⊠ This action is F		This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accor Disposition of Claims	dance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	IS			
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is	s/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above	claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/							
	7) Claim(s)i	s/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	Application Papers		or energian requirement.					
	9) The specification	is objected to by the Examin	er.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)⊡ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.				
	Applicant may no	t request that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a)				
	11) The proposed dra	wing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corre	ected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	•				
l	12)☐ The oath or declar	ration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
F	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119 and 120						
l	13) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	a)∏All b)∏ Some	e * c)☐ None of:	,					
	1.☐ Certified co	pies of the priority document	s have been received.					
			s have been received in Application	on No.				
	3.☐ Copies of the applicate	he certified copies of the prio	nty documents have been received	d in this National Stage				
1	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	1,				
	a) ☐ The translation	n of the foreign language are	visional application has been rece) (to a provisional applicatio ·	n).			
	15) Acknowledgment is	s made of a claim for domesti	ivisional application has been rece ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	elved. and/or 121				
A	ttachment(s)		1, 2201 00 0.0.0. 33 120 1	unu/UL 121.				
2)	Notice of References Cited (Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure State	PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5\ Notice of Information	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
	Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this
 Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Figures 3a-3d should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10 recite the limitation "protruding regions" in the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-12, in so far in compliance of 35 U.S.C. 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami US patent No. 4,819,045 in view Yang US Patent No. 6,306,700.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 9 Murakami teaches a semiconductor device comprising: a gate insulator film 9 of a transistor formed in a predetermined region on a region of a first conductivity type 3; a gate electrode 11 of the transistor formed on the gate insulator film; a source diffusion layer 5 and a drain diffusion layer 7 of a second conductivity type formed on the region of the first conductivity type; a diffusion layer 15 of the first conductivity type formed so as to surround the gate insulator film 9 so as to be in contact therewith, the diffusion layer of the first conductivity type having a higher impurity concentration than the region of the first conductivity type, and in which regions at both ends, in a direction of a channel width, of the gate insulator film protrude from a boundary, in a lateral direction, wherein the diffusion layer of the first conductivity type is formed so as not to be present below the gate insulator film but to be in contact with the protruding regions at both ends, in the direction of the channel width, of the gate insulator film.

Murakami does not teach a source side offset diffusion layer and the drain side offset diffusion layer of the second conductivity type being present around the source diffusion layer and the drain diffusion layer so as to be in contact therewith, respectively; a diffusion layer of the first conductivity type formed so as to surround the source side offset diffusion layer, the drain side offset diffusion layer and the diffusion layer of the first conductivity type having a higher impurity concentration than the region of the first conductivity type, and in which regions at both ends, in a direction of a channel width, of the gate insulator film protrude from a boundary, in a lateral direction between the source side off set diffusion layer and the drain side of set diffusion layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Yang teaches forming source (222a)/drain (222b) offset structure with the same conductivity as the source (232a)/drain (232b) diffusion layer such that (222a/222b) is lower in impurity concentration than (232a/232b) and formed around the source/drain diffusion layers (fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the source/drain offset structure taught by Yang in the structure of Murakami in order to increase the breakdown voltage (col. 4, line 1-9)

Regarding claim 10, Murakami teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 9 above including wherein the diffusion layer of the first conductivity type is formed so as to be separate from the protruding regions at both ends, in the direction of the channel width, of the gate insulator film (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 11 and 12 Murakami teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 9 above including the transistor is a high voltage transistor, the source diffusion layer and the drain diffusion layer are high impurity concentration, and the source side offset diffusion layer and the drain side offset diffusion layer are lower in impurity concentration than the source diffusion layer and the drain diffusion layer (fig. 1).

Regarding claims 5-8, Murakami teaches substantially the entire claimed structure of claim 9 above including the diffusion layer of first conductivity type is a channel stopper region (fig. 1, col. 1, line 51-68).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-12 and 5-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References C and D are cited as being related to a semiconductor device.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Admassu Gebremariam whose telephone number is 703 305 1913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4: 30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 305-7646. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Samuel Admassu Gebremariam August 6, 2002 TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800